

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/540,968	03/31/2000	Stanley Mo	INTL-0365- (P8584)	2086	
7	590 09/09/2003				
Timothy N Trop			EXAMINER		
Trop Pruner & 8554 Katy Free			RUDY, ANDREW J		
Suite 100 Houston, TX 77024			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3627		
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i					\$				
		Application No. Applicant(s)		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/540,968	:	MO ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Andrew Joseph F		3627					
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ars on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress				
A SH THE - Exto afte - If N - Fail - Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howe within the statutory min will apply and will expire s cause the application to	ver, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on July	<u>11, 2003</u> .							
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	is action is non-fi	nal.						
,	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. position of Claims								
	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application	•	-						
ا کار د			ation						
5)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
6)⊠									
7)□	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election require	ment.						
<i>,</i> —	tion Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.							
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	oted or b) objecte	ed to by the Exar	miner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• , ,	•						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents								
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		Stage				
14) 🗌 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisiona	l application).				
	 a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti 	• •							
Attachme	nt(s)								
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲		(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT					

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's REMARKS have been reviewed regarding Linberg. The previous rejection is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Salvo et al., US 6,341,271.

Salvo discloses an automated inventory management system 100 over a network where an on-line sale of an inventory allocation 150 is analyzed, as needed, by a control unit 114 and the inventory is replenished depending upon particular demands, e.g. col. 7, lines 39-57, col. 8, lines 1-4, col. 9, lines 33-57, claim 16. The network may be wire or wireless, e.g. col. 5, lines 10-20, col. 11, lines 18-36. To have provided automatic replenishment of inventory below of pre-set level in response to depletion of inventory for Salvo would have been an inherent and obvious function of the Salvo system 100. Doing such would replenish inventory stocks to acceptable levels to allow for the manufacturing process to continue in Salvo. The Examiner regrets that Salvo was not used in the "main body" of the previous Office Action, Paper No. 18. However, Salvo was brought to Applicant's attention on the previous PTO-892 mailed to Applicant from Paper No. 18. Thus, Applicant was given reasonable notice as to its contents.

3. Fry, Sr. et al., US 6,415,341, discloses an automatic Point-of-Sale inventory system.

Conclusion

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 703-308-7808. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday thru Friday, 7:30 a.m until 6 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Robert Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Anchew Joseph Roby